POLICE DEPARTMENT CITY OF NEW YORK

January 17, 2012

From:

Assistant Commissioner, Employee Management Division

To:

Executive Officer, Personnel Bureau

Subject

CAREER ADVANCEMENT REVIEW BOARD

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The attached communication is forwarded for your approval.

Arnold S. Wechsler

Assistant Commissioner

Employee Management Division

ASW/DGJ/dfd

POLICE DEPARTMENT CITY OF NEW YORK

January 17, 2012

From:

Executive Officer, Personnel Bureau

To:

First Deputy Commissioner

Subject:

CAREER ADVANCEMENT REVIEW BOARD RECOMMENDATION

- 1. On Tuesday, January 17, 2012, the Career Advancement Review Board convened to determine the suitability of three uniformed members of the service for promotion.
 - The board consisted of the following members:

Chief James P.Hall Chief of Patrol

Chief Joseph Fox Chief of Transit Bureau

Chief Joanne Jaffe Chief of Housing Bureau

3. Based on a personal interview with the candidate and review of his employment record, the board voted unanimously **NOT TO PROMOTE** the following members of the service.

Not to promote to the rank of Sergeant:

Exam#	List#	Rank	Name	Tax#	Reason
8564	244	PO	Claude Staten		Discipline
8564	458	PO	H		Discipline

4. Based on a personal interview with the candidate and review of his employment record, two members of the the board voted **NOT TO PROMOTE** (one member voted to promote) the following member of the service.

Not to promote to the rank of Sergeant:

Exam#	List#	Rank	<u>Name</u>		Tax#	Reason
8564	692	PO	K	R		Discipline

5. Forwarded for your approval.

James W. Muttaugh

Executive Officer

Personnel Bureau

JWM/DGJ/dfd

CAREER ADVANCEMENT REVIEW BOARD

January 17, 2012

Review of Promotional Candidate:		
Police Officer: Claude Staten		
TAX#	COMMAND: 46 th precinct	
To the rank of:	Sergeant	
Reviewed by: Chaff J 150	Tax#:	
RECOMMENDATION: (Check mark in app	propriate space)	
PROMOTE:		
DO NOT PROMOTE WITH A FUTURI	E REVIEW:	
DO NOT PROMOTE WITH <u>NO</u> FUTU		
If recommending a review in the fur	ture, please specify period:	
NOOE - De	not proces	
# #		
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	42	
Toyor offices Ca	quet NOT as vant NOR	
FOR ALST DISCOPPING		
	ides to justione da	
mes pender or sacre	e since wint	
11-134 010-4		
I sthomason he	eauthy not presureto	
· · · · · · //	1-17/	
	1	

D 000264

CAREER ADVANCEMENT REVIEW BOARD

January 17, 2012

Review of Promotional Candidate:	
Police Officer: Claude Staten	
TAX#	COMMAND: 46 th precinct
To the rank of:	Sergeant
Reviewed by: Joanne Jaffe	Tax#:
RECOMMENDATION: (Check mark in app	ropriate space)
PROMOTE:	*
DO NOT PROMOTE WITH A FUTURE	REVIEW:
DO NOT PROMOTE WITH <u>NO</u> FUTUR	RE REVIEW:
If recommending a review in the fut	ure, please specify period:
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	å
Do not recommed comotion	This office appears to have
made we attempt to in	MONTH IN enforcement efforts
down the part 9-10 Mich	4. SINU last bookedor -
In applying, he till a	good story, has an arriver ye
	sthing. He wild not
mell a professional 5	
The second of th	

CAREER ADVANCEMENT REVIEW BOARD

January 17, 2012

Review of Promotional Candidate:	
Police Officer: Claude Staten	
TAX#	COMMAND: 46 th precinct
To the rank of:	Sergeant
Reviewed by: Mief flames 4	Holl Tax#:
RECOMMENDATION: Check mark in	appropriate space)
PROMOTE:	
DO NOT PROMOTE WITH A FUTU	RE REVIEW:
DO NOT PROMOTE WITH <u>NO</u> FUT	TURE REVIEW:
If recommending a review in the	future, please specify period:
W (2) (3)	
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: This is the second time !	o Staten has appeared lighter CAR. st CARG POStalom still fails to
accept full responsibility for	TO Avid and propositional theresals the state of
1 poor . The CO 46 game	a lucie warm recommendation. a state does not have the shell
to be a songrand	
	